

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS,  
Defendant.

NO. CR25-142 KKE

**INFORMATION**

The Acting United States Attorney charges that:

**COUNT 1**

**(Wire Fraud Conspiracy)**

**Introduction**

1. Defendant CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS conspired with other persons to defraud at least 10 victim organizations by obtaining login credentials for the organizations' protected computer networks without authorization, gaining unlawful access to these protected computer networks, stealing sensitive information, threatening to leak the stolen data unless the victims paid ransoms, and offering to sell and selling the stolen data online. WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators gained unlawful access to

1 hundreds of thousands of sensitive business and customer records, including non-content  
2 call and text history records, telecommunication identifying information, and other  
3 personally identifiable information.

4 2. WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators agreed to, attempted to, and did profit  
5 from this scheme through several means, including by attempting to extort at least  
6 \$1,000,000 from victim data owners, offering to sell victims' stolen data via online  
7 messages and via posts on cybercriminal forums for thousands of dollars, successfully  
8 selling at least some of this stolen data, and using stolen victim data in further frauds,  
9 including SIM-swapping.

10 **Relevant Individuals and Entities**

11 3. CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS resided in Fort Cavazos, Texas, and at a  
12 United States military base in the Republic of Korea. WAGENIUS used online accounts  
13 associated with particular nicknames, including, but not limited to, "kiberphant0m,"  
14 "cyb3rph4nt0m," and "buttholio."

15 4. Co-Conspirator-1 resided in the Western District of Washington and used  
16 online accounts associated with particular nicknames known to the United States.

17 5. Co-Conspirator-2 resided in Canada and used online accounts associated  
18 with particular nicknames known to the United States.

19 6. Co-Conspirator-3 resided in a place unknown and used online accounts  
20 associated with particular nicknames known to the United States.

21 7. Victim-1 was a telecommunications company located overseas.

22 8. Victim-2 was a telecommunications company located in the United States.

23 9. Victim-3 was a technology company located in the United States. Some of  
24 Victim-2's stolen data was hosted on Victim-3's computer systems in the United States.

25 10. Victim-4 was a telecommunications company located in the United States.  
26  
27

**The Conspiracy**

11. Beginning on a date unknown, but no later than in or about April 2023, and continuing through at least December 18, 2024, in King County, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS and others known and unknown to the United States, did knowingly agree to devise, and attempt to devise, a scheme and artifice to defraud, and for obtaining money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and to transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate commerce writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

**Goal of the Conspiracy**

12. It was the goal of the conspiracy for WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators to defraud corporations and enrich themselves by: (a) acquiring active, valid user credentials to victims' computer systems; (b) pretending to be legitimate users of these victims' networks by using those credentials to access victim computers; (c) stealing sensitive personally identifying, financial, and other valuable information from those computers; (d) threatening to leak the stolen data unless the victims paid ransoms; and (e) offering to sell the stolen data online to other criminals and foreign actors, including at least one foreign intelligence service.

**Manner and Means of the Conspiracy**

13. The manner and means by which WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators carried out the conspiracy included, but were not limited to, the following:

14. WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators unlawfully obtained login credentials that could be used to access the protected computer systems of victim organizations and their users, including Victims 1 through 4. They obtained these credentials using a hacking tool called "SSH Brute," among other means.

1           15. WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators shared these stolen login credentials  
2 among themselves and with others, in order to access victim computer systems without  
3 authorization. To do so efficiently, they created Telegram group conversations that either  
4 manually or automatically forwarded stolen login credentials to other group members,  
5 including Co-Conspirator-1, Co-Conspirator-2, and Co-Conspirator-3. For example:

6           a. From at least on or about April 23, 2023, until at least June 16, 2023,  
7 WAGENIUS, Co-Conspirator-1, and others participated in a Telegram group chat.  
8 The chat members repeatedly discussed stealing computer credentials, including  
9 through brute force attacks used to guess username and password combinations, and  
10 transferred stolen credentials among themselves.

11           b. On or about May 19, 2024, WAGENIUS and Co-Conspirator-1  
12 started a Telegram chat titled “SSHBRUTE,” which they again used to discuss and  
13 transfer stolen credentials.

14           c. Between on or about June 13, 2024, and at least on or about November  
15 17, 2024, WAGENIUS and Co-Conspirator-1 administered a second Telegram chat  
16 group in which participants, including WAGENIUS, Co-Conspirator-1, Co-  
17 Conspirator-2, and Co-Conspirator-3, exchanged hundreds of credentials. On or  
18 about June 13, 2024, Co-Conspirator-1 discussed one of these credentials. He stated,  
19 “[t]his can be pivoted from,” meaning that co-conspirators could use the credentials  
20 to access a server and then “pivot” to other protected computers on the victim’s  
21 computer network or steal additional data.

22           d. On or about September 13, 2024, WAGENIUS and Co-Conspirator-  
23 2 discussed a set of stolen credentials for a foreign telecommunications provider.  
24 Co-Conspirator-2 directed WAGENIUS to add the account to “SSH bruter.”

25           16. WAGENIUS and his co-conspirators used these stolen credentials to  
26 unlawfully access victims’ computer systems, and to view and download hundreds of  
27

1 thousands of sensitive business and customer records, including non-content call and text  
2 history records, telecommunication identifying information, and other personally  
3 identifiable information. For example:

4           a.       In or about May 2024, WAGENIUS and Co-Conspirator-1 accessed  
5 the computer systems of Victim-1 and stole information pertaining to hundreds of  
6 thousands of Victim-1's customers.

7           b.       In or about August and September 2024, WAGENIUS, Co-  
8 Conspirator-2, and Co-Conspirator-3 accessed the protected computer systems of  
9 Victim-3 and stole information pertaining to thousands of Victim-2's customers.

10       17.       WAGENIUS and others publicly and privately extorted victims by  
11 threatening to sell or otherwise distribute their stolen data unless the victims paid ransoms.  
12 They did so through online posts on online cybercrime forums catering to criminals, such  
13 as BreachForums and XSS.is; Telegram channels dedicated to online frauds and other  
14 cybercrimes; direct messages on Telegram; and other online platforms such as X (formerly  
15 known as Twitter). Some of these posts and messages offered to sell the data in exchange  
16 for fiat currency and cryptocurrency, while others attempted to extort the victim companies,  
17 requesting payment in order to avoid publication of the stolen data. Some posts also  
18 published sample data stolen from the victims. The platforms on which these posts were  
19 made could be accessed from computers located anywhere in the world, including in the  
20 Western District of Washington. For example:

21           a.       On or about May 23, 2024, WAGENIUS sent a Telegram message to  
22 another Telegram account containing the text of a ransom note addressed to  
23 Victim-1, which demanded a "ransom payment of 500,000 USD in the form of  
24 crypto currency."

25           b.       On or about May 27, 2024, WAGENIUS posted on XSS.is an offer to  
26 sell over 250 gigabytes of information stolen from Victim-1 and published sample  
27

1 stolen data.

2 c. On or about October 12, 2024, WAGENIUS posted on XSS.is an offer  
3 to sell over 325 gigabytes of information stolen from Victim-2 for \$200,000 and  
4 published sample stolen data.

5 d. On or about November 5, 2024, WAGENIUS made two online posts  
6 on BreachForums and in a Telegram channel, each publishing portions of Victim-  
7 2's stolen data.

8 e. On or about November 6, 2024, WAGENIUS sent multiple emails to  
9 Victim-4 sharing sample stolen data and threatening to leak more online unless he  
10 was paid "500k USD in the form of cryptocurrency." WAGENIUS stated, "[i]n the  
11 event of [Co-Conspirator-2's] arrest I was to takeover negotiations."

12 f. On or about November 13, 2024, Co-Conspirator-1 messaged  
13 WAGENIUS, "We can still make money off [Victim-1]."

14 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

15 **COUNT 2**

16 **(Extortion in Relation to Computer Fraud)**

17 18. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 and 12 through 17 of  
18 this Information are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

19 19. On or about October 22, 2024, in the Western District of Texas, and  
20 elsewhere, CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS, with intent to extort from persons money and  
21 things of value, transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce a communication  
22 containing a threat to impair the confidentiality of information obtained from a protected  
23 computer without authorization. Specifically, WAGENIUS wrote, "If I'm not contacted all  
24 358+ [gigabytes] of data on the [Victim-2] network will be released."

25 20. On or about November 5, 2024, WAGENIUS publicly posted Victim-2's  
26 stolen data.

1 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(7)(B).

2 **COUNT 3**

3 **(Aggravated Identity Theft)**

4 21. On or about November 5, 2024, in King County, within the Western District  
5 of Washington, and elsewhere, CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS did knowingly transfer,  
6 possess, and use, and aided and abetted the transfer, possession, and use of, without lawful  
7 authority, the means of identification of another person, to wit, a telephone number, which  
8 belonged to a real person who was Victim-2's customer, during and in relation to the  
9 specified violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1349 and 1030 that are  
10 charged above in Counts 1 and 2.

11 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1028A(a)(1) and 2.

12 **FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS**

13 22. The allegations contained in Counts 1–3 above are hereby realleged and  
14 incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture.

15 23. Upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count 1, CAMERON JOHN  
16 WAGENIUS shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,  
17 Section 981(a)(1)(C), by way of Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any  
18 property constituting, or derived from, proceeds traceable to the offense. Such property  
19 includes, but is not limited to, a judgment for a sum of money representing the amount of  
20 proceeds Defendant obtained as a result of the offense.

21 24. Upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count 2, CAMERON JOHN  
22 WAGENIUS shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,  
23 Sections 982(a)(2)(B) and 1030(i)(1)(B), and any property constituting, or derived from,  
24 proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of the offense, and, pursuant to  
25 Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(i)(1)(A), any personal property that was used  
26 or intended to be used to commit or to facilitate the commission of the offense. Such  
27

1 property includes, but is not limited to, a judgment for a sum of money representing the  
2 amount of proceeds Defendant obtained as a result of the offense.

3 25. **Substitute Assets.** If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a  
4 result of any act or omission of the defendant,

- 5 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - 6 b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - 7 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - 8 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - 9 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided
- 10 without difficulty,

11 ///

12 ///



1 f. it is the intent of the United States to seek the forfeiture of any other  
2 property of the defendant, up to the value of the above-described forfeitable property,  
3 pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).  
4

5 DATED this 14th day of July, 2025.  
6  
7

8 *s/ Sarah G. Vogel, for*  
TEAL LUTHY MILLER  
9 Acting United States Attorney

10 *Sok Tea Jiang*  
11 SOK TEA JIANG  
12 Assistant United States Attorney  
13 Western District of Washington

14 *Louisa K. Becker for*  
15 LOUISA K. BECKER  
16 Senior Counsel  
17 Computer Crime & Intellectual Property  
Section, Criminal Division, USDOJ

18 *George Brown for*  
19 GEORGE BROWN  
20 Trial Attorney  
21 Computer Crime & Intellectual Property  
22 Section, Criminal Division, USDOJ  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27